ORIGINAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS 11 25 AM 9: 42 DALLAS DIVISION

888888

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ARISEBANK, JARED RICE SR., and STANLEY FORD,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.:

FILED UNDER SEAL

ESPUTY CLERK

8-18CV-186-M

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") files this Complaint against Defendants AriseBank, co-founder/CEO Jared Rice Sr., and co-founder/COO Stanley Ford (collectively "Defendants") and alleges the following:

SUMMARY

- 1. The SEC files this action to halt an ongoing, fraudulent, and unregistered offering of securities and to protect investors who are being actively defrauded.
- 2. The fraud is being carried out by Defendants Jared Rice Sr. and Stanley Ford through Defendant AriseBank. AriseBank purports to be the world's first "decentralized" bank, allegedly offering a variety of consumer-facing banking products and services and supporting more than 700 different virtual currencies. Claiming to be "one of the largest cryptocurrency platforms ever built," AriseBank says it is "focused on bringing cryptocurrency to the average consumer and using it to revolutionize banking."
- 3. AriseBank began raising money at least as early as November 2017, through a securities offering of AriseCoin—its own digital currency. AriseCoin is being offered in an

initial coin offering ("ICO") through which AriseBank claims that it has raised more than \$600 million, with a goal of \$1 billion. The ICO is scheduled to conclude on January 27, 2018, with AriseCoin to be distributed to investors on February 10, 2018.

- 4. The ICO is an illegal offering of securities because there is no registration statement filed or in effect with the SEC, nor is there an applicable exemption from registration. The AriseCoin ICO is a general solicitation that uses statements posted on the Internet and distributed throughout the world—including the United States. These marketing efforts include statements made through websites the Defendants control and through various social media accounts, video and radio interviews, and even a celebrity endorsement.
- 5. The ICO offering materials use many materially false statements and omissions to induce investment in the ICO. For example, AriseBank announced in a recent press release that it has purchased a 100-year-old commercial bank. The release stated that with the acquisition, AriseBank "can now offer its customers FDIC-insured accounts and transactions" This claim is false. FDIC records show that neither AriseBank nor the commercial bank it allegedly purchased has ever been an insured depository institution under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act.
- 6. AriseBank has also made false statements about its association with a payments processing platform through which AriseBank claims to offer an AriseBank-branded VISA card and omitted to disclose the criminal background of key executives—most notably, Rice, who is currently on probation for felony theft and tampering with government records.
- 7. By engaging in the conduct described in this Complaint, Defendants have committed, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to commit, violations of the registration and antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws. Because of the ongoing

nature of the fraudulent offering and risk of asset dissipation, the SEC seeks emergency relief—including temporary restraining orders, asset freezes, and the appointment of a receiver over AriseBank.

I. **DEFENDANTS**

- 8. AriseBank (a/k/a AriseBank Ltd and AriseBank Foundation, LLC) is an unincorporated entity with its principal place of business in Dallas, Texas.
- 9. Jared Rice Sr., age 29, is believed to be a resident of Dallas, Texas and is the CEO and co-founder of AriseBank.
- 10. Stanley Ford, age 45, purports to reside in Dubai, U.A.E, but has also resided in Dallas, Texas. He is the co-founder and Chief Operating Officer of AriseBank.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. The SEC brings this action under Securities Act Section 20(b) [15 U.S.C. § 77t(b)] and Exchange Act Section 21(d) [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)], seeking to restrain and enjoin the Defendants temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently from engaging in such acts and practices as alleged herein.
- 12. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under Securities Act Section 22(a) [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)] and Exchange Act Sections 21(e) and 27 [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(e) and 78aa]. Each of the investments offered and sold as described in this Complaint is an investment contract and, therefore, a "security" as that term is defined under Securities Act Section 2(a)(1) [15 U.S. C. § 77b(a)(1)] and Exchange Act Section 3(a)(10) [5 U.S. C. § 78c(a)(10)].
- 13. AriseBank, Rice, and Ford, directly and indirectly, made use of the mails or of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce in connection with the transactions, acts,

practices, and courses of business described in this complaint.

14. Venue is proper because transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business described in this Complaint occurred within this federal district.

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. BACKGROUND ON DIGITAL TOKENS OR COINS

- 15. An ICO is a fundraising event in which an entity offers participants a unique "coin" or "token" in exchange for consideration (often in the form of crypto or fiat currency).
 - 16. The tokens are issued on a "blockchain" or cryptographically secured ledger. 1
- 17. Generally, coins or tokens may entitle holders to certain rights related to a venture underlying the ICO, such as rights to profits, shares of assets, rights to use certain services provided by the issuer, and/or voting rights. These coins or tokens may also be listed on online platforms, often called virtual currency exchanges, and tradable for crypto or fiat currency. Often, the coins or tokens are immediately tradable.
- 18. ICOs are typically announced and promoted through public online channels. Issuers usually release a "whitepaper" describing the project and the terms of the ICO. To

A blockchain is a type of distributed ledger, or peer-to-peer database spread across a network, that records all transactions in the network in theoretically unchangeable, digitally-recorded data packages called blocks. Each block contains a batch of records of transactions, including a timestamp and a reference to the previous block, linking the blocks together in a chain. The system relies on cryptographic techniques for secure recording of transactions. A blockchain can be shared and accessed by anyone with appropriate permissions. The Bitcoin blockchain is an example of a "non-permissioned," or public and open access blockchain. Anyone can download the Bitcoin open-source software and join. All participants share a single view of the Bitcoin blockchain, which is updated when Bitcoin network participants reach a consensus on the validity of transactions under review. "Permissioned" or private blockchains are modifications to that model and require permissioned servers to be approved to participate on the network or to access particular information on the blockchain. Blockchains or distributed ledgers can also record what are called smart contracts, which essentially are computer programs designed to execute the terms of a contract when certain triggering conditions are met.

participate, investors are generally required to transfer funds to the issuer's address, online wallet, payment processor, or other account. After the completion of the ICO, the issuer will distribute its unique coin or token to the participants' unique address on the blockchain.

19. In some instances, the coins or tokens may continue to be sold by the original issuer after the ICO has completed. In others, they may only be obtained by purchasing them on secondary markets.

B. DEFENDANTS BEGIN MARKETING THE ICO

- 20. On its website, www.arisebank.com,² AriseBank claims that it was founded in March 2017 by Rice and Ford. The company's initial plan was announced, and development began, in June 2017. In October 2017, AriseBank claims to have launched the AriseCoin ICO and a beta version of the banking platform. Although Defendants hold AriseBank out as a banking platform, on January 5, 2018, the Texas Department of Banking issued an Order to Cease and Desist Activity, prohibiting Defendants and their affiliates from implying that they engage in the business of banking in Texas.
- 21. In or around October 2017, AriseBank issued an "Elevator Whitepaper," which is an abridged offering document authored and signed by Rice. The Elevator Whitepaper describes the AriseBank products in development and AriseBank's leadership team, and discusses a few pages about the AriseCoin ICO. AriseBank also distributed one or more versions of a longer Developer Whitepaper ("Whitepaper"), signed by Rice and Ford, in November and December of 2017.
- 22. AriseBank touts the AriseCoin ICO as the largest ICO ever launched. AriseBank claims that it completed its initial "Private Sale" of the ICO in two days in November 2017,

² Defendants also used the website www.arisecoin.com.

raising \$1 million. After the Private Sale, AriseBank purportedly ran a Presale of the ICO, which it says raised \$410 million by December 26, 2017.

- 23. The ongoing Public Sale began on or around December 26, 2017 and is set to last until January 27, 2018. AriseBank's website indicates that it will seek to raise as much as \$500 million during the public sale. On January 18, AriseBank claimed in a press release that it had raised \$600 million.
- 24. The arisecoin.com contribution page allows investors to purchase AriseCoin with U.S. dollars and a variety of virtual currencies, including Ethereum (ETH), Bitcoin (BTC), Litecoin (LTC), Dogecoin (DOGE), and NEM (XEM). The website does not prohibit investments from U.S. citizens or make any assessment of an investor's accreditation.
- 25. AriseBank lists the price of its AriseCoin, which it also refers to as ACO, as \$1.40 per AriseCoin with 833,333,333 available to acquire. The price under the Presale was \$1.20, with a 75 AriseCoin minimum purchase.
- 26. As a key part of its sales pitch, AriseBank claims that it has developed an algorithmic trading application, which it calls aIExchanger, that automatically makes trades in various cryptocurrencies. AriseBank alleges that aIExchanger will automatically make trades with funds in AriseBank customer accounts, generating daily profits. A portion of these profits will be paid to AriseCoin holders on a daily basis in the form of eACO. AriseBank describes eACO as a separate cryptocurrency from AriseCoin, and AriseBank touts it as the first expiring cryptocurrency: after receiving eACO, its holders have a limited period of time to spend it before it automatically expires. AriseBank claims that this forced circulation will increase the value of AriseCoin. AriseBank also takes a portion of the daily aIExchanger profits as a "broker fee."
 - 27. In discussing how AriseBank will drive the increase of value of AriseCoin, the

Whitepaper states:

Our goal with AriseCoin is to drive overall circulation by incentivizing ACO holders to spend their extra rewarded eACO bonus coins before they expire. This causes eACO currency to be used rather than just held in static accounts, which in turns [sic] drives and grows the market value of AriseCoin economy and thus increase [sic] the value of ACO holdings.

AriseCoin works directly with the AriseBank Platform. In fact, they both work in concert with one another. AriseCoin is minted daily, based on the total gains from all AriseBank bank accounts. Those minted AriseCoins are then automatically distributed to AriseCoin wallet holders around the world, creating truly organic circulation, while also creating wealth distribution to everyone who's a part of the AriseCoin community.

C. DEFENDANTS FAIL TO REGISTER THE ARISECOIN ICO WITH THE SEC

- 28. Federal securities laws require that companies disclose financial information through the registration of securities with the SEC. This information allows investors to make informed judgments about whether to purchase a company's securities.
- 29. The AriseCoin ICO is an offering of securities, which must be registered with the SEC unless an exemption applies. No such exemption applies here.
 - 30. Neither AriseBank nor its AriseCoin are registered with the SEC in any way.
- 31. For the purpose of applicable exemptions, AriseBank's offering of AriseCoin through the AriseCoin ICO was not limited by size, geography, number of investors, or investor accreditation status.
- 32. Rice has made statements falsely claiming that Defendants and AriseCoin are not subject to regulation by the SEC. In early October 2017, Rice published a statement on Facebook and AriseBank.com, described in part as "a statement on our fight with the SEC." Rice explains in the post that companies sell digital assets such as tokens "to gain investment via a self-established network of private investors." After equating digital asset tokens with stock in a company, Rice mistakenly remarks that "a private company can issue private stock to

ANYONE who wants to invest in their company and/or products without the SEC's involvement in any way." Rice declared that "[r]ather than close our ICOs and shiver in fear, companies like AriseBank have geared up for the coming fight with the SEC."

D. DEFENDANTS MAKE MATERIALLY FALSE AND MISLEADING STATEMENTS AND OMISSIONS

- 33. In addition to its failure to register the AriseCoin ICO, Defendants made false and misleading statements and omissions in its Whitepaper, press releases, and other public statements.
- 34. These statements and omissions were made in connection with the AriseCoin ICO, and Defendants obtained money or property by means of these statements. And they made them knowingly, recklessly, or at a minimum negligently.

The Defendants Falsely Claim Purchase of an FDIC-Insured Bank

- 35. In December 2017, AriseBank announced it was acquiring a 100-year-old FDIC-insured bank, with "hundreds of banking partnerships across the world and many certifications and licenses." AriseBank continued to issue press releases on this topic through January 18, 2018, when it announced that it had completed the acquisition "and now holds 100 percent of the equity in both KFMC Bank Holding Company, a 100 year-old commercial bank."
- 36. AriseBank touted the importance of this purported acquisition: "With the addition of the traditional bank[] AriseBank will now have an arm to comply with industry regulation and give its customers added confidence that even disruptive banking services offering cryptocurrencies will operate with the same assurance and consistency as those of a traditional bank. As part of this acquisition, AriseBank can now offer its customers FDIC-insured accounts and transactions."
 - 37. As each Defendant knew, this statement is false. The FDIC has no record that

either AriseBank or KFMC is FDIC-insured. Thus, neither may offer, or claim to be able to offer, FDIC-insured bank accounts.

38. The FDIC also has no record of any application for the change in ownership of an FDIC-insured bank or bank holding company involving AriseBank, KFMC, Rice, or Ford.

The Defendants Falsely Claim to Offer an AriseBank-branded VISA Card

- 39. AriseBank's Whitepaper claims the company offers an AriseBank-branded VISA card that allows its customers to pay for goods and services using any of 700 different virtual currencies that they can hold in their AriseBank account. The Whitepaper states that "Crypto in your Arise account is now instantly available on your AriseCard VISA."
- 40. AriseBank makes claims about the specific tools it uses to facilitate these cards. In its Whitepaper, AriseBank claims that it provides the VISA card through a service named Marqeta, which was listed on its website as a "Partner": "Powered by Marqueta (sic) AriseBank utilizes Marqueta's (sic) world renowned VISA API, allowing us to do things that most crypto wallets who have VISA cards are unable to do."
- 41. On its Facebook page, AriseBank announced on October 4, 2017, that "[o]ur new partnership with VISA and Marqeta has enabled the AriseCard and the entire AriseCard platform to change the very foundation of how we spend our money. From virtual to physical cards, the AriseCard platform is directly compatible with ...over 700 cryptocurrencies."
- 42. As each Defendant knew, AriseBank has no relationship with Marqeta. And after learning of these claims, Marqeta sent AriseBank a cease-and-desist letter and made public statements on Twitter that it did not have any relationship with AriseBank and did not provide programs that permit the spending of cryptocurrency.

The Defendants Fail to Disclose the Criminal History of AriseBank Officers

- 43. On its website and in its Whitepapers, AriseBank identifies its executives and provides brief biographies for them. These biographies tout the executives' backgrounds, but fail to disclose the criminal histories of Rice and AriseBank's President, Kelvin Spencer, which each of the Defendants were aware of.
- 44. In 2015, Rice was charged with felony theft and tampering with government records. He recently pleaded guilty and remains on probation for these charges.
- 45. Spencer also has multiple arrests and convictions. He served a five-year sentence for felony robbery, for which he was also ordered to pay a \$250,000 fine. Spencer was released from prison in October 2015.
- 46. This information—which bears directly on the honesty and professional competence of AriseBank's principals—would have been highly material to investors. These convictions and guilty pleas also impact Defendants' ability to obtain licenses to own or operate financial institutions, including restrictions imposed by the FDIC.

IV. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Unregistered Offers and Sales of Securities Violation of Sections 5(a) and (c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and (c)] (All Defendants)

- 47. The SEC incorporates the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 46 of this Complaint by reference as if set forth verbatim in this Claim.
- 48. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendants, directly or indirectly, singly or in concert with others, (i) made use of means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to sell, through the use or medium of

written contracts, offering documents, prospectus, oral and written statements, or otherwise, securities as to which no registration statement was in effect; (ii) for the purpose of sale or delivery after sale, carried or caused to be carried through the mails or in interstate commerce, by means or instruments of transportation, securities as to which no registration statement was in effect; or (iii) made use of means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to offer to sell or offer to buy, through the use or medium of written contracts, offering documents, prospectus, oral and written statements, or otherwise, securities as to which no registration statement had been filed.

49. For these reasons, Defendants have violated, and unless restrained and enjoined, they will continue to violate Sections 5(a) and (c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and (c)].

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF Fraud in the Offer or Sale of Securities in Violation of Section 17(a)(2) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(2)] (All Defendants)

- 50. The SEC re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 46 of this Complaint by reference as if set forth verbatim in this Claim.
- 51. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendants directly or indirectly, singly or in concert with others, in the offer or sale of securities, by use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce or by use of the mails, and at least negligently, have obtained money or property by means of untrue statements of a material fact and omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.
- 52. Defendants knew or should have known that they obtained money or property by means of untrue statements of a material fact and omitted to state a material fact necessary in

order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.

53. For these reasons, Defendants have violated and, unless enjoined, will continue to violate Securities Act Section 17(a)(2) [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(2)].

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Fraud in Connection With the Purchase and Sale of Securities
Violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5(b) Thereunder
[15 U.S.C. § 78j(b) and 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5(b)]
(All Defendants)

- 54. The SEC re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 46 of this Complaint by reference as if set forth verbatim in this Claim.
- 55. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendants, directly or indirectly, singly or in concert with others, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, by use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce or by use of the mails, have made untrue statements of a material fact and omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.
- 56. Defendants made the above-referenced untrue and misleading statements knowingly or with severe recklessness.
- 57. For these reasons, Defendants violated and, unless enjoined, will continue to violate Exchange Act Section 10(b) [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5(b) [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5(b)].

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Aiding and Abetting Violations of Sections 5(a) and (c) and 17(a)(2) of the Securities Act and Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5(b) Thereunder (Rice and Ford)

- 58. The SEC re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 46 of this Complaint by reference as if set forth verbatim in this Claim.
- 59. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendants Rice and Ford knowingly or recklessly aided and abetted, pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Act and Section 20(e) of the Exchange Act:
 - (a) (i) the use of means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to sell, through the use or medium of written contracts, offering documents, prospectus, oral and written statements, or otherwise, securities as to which no registration statement was in effect; (ii) for the purpose of sale or delivery after sale, carried or caused to be carried through the mails or in interstate commerce, by means or instruments of transportation, securities as to which no registration statement was in effect; or (iii) use of means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to offer to sell or offer to buy, through the use or medium of written contracts, offering documents, prospectus, oral and written statements, or otherwise, securities as to which no registration statement had been filed.
 - (b) in the offer or sale of securities, by use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce or by use of the mails, the obtaining of money or property by means of untrue statements of a material fact and omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in

light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading;

- (c) in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, by use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce or by use of the mails, the making of untrue statements of a material fact and omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading
- 60. For these reasons, Defendants Rice and Ford aided and abetted violations of, and, unless enjoined, will continue to aid and abet violations of Securities Act Sections 5(a), 5(c) [15 U.S.C. § 77e(a) and (c)] and 17(a)(2) [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(2)] and Exchange Act Section 10(b) [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5(b) [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5(b)].

VI. RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, the SEC respectfully requests that the Court:

- 1. Preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants from violating Securities Act Sections 5(a) and (c) and 17(a)(2) and Exchange Act Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5(b) thereunder;
- 2. Preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants Rice and Ford from participating in an offering of digital securities;
- 3. Prohibits Defendants Rice and Ford, pursuant to Section 21(d)(2) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(2)], from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered under Section 12 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 781] or that is required to file reports under Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(d)];
- 4. Order Defendants to each disgorge ill-gotten gains and benefits obtained or to which they were not otherwise entitled, as a result of the violations alleged herein, plus prejudgment interest on that amount:

- 5. Order Defendants to each pay a civil money penalty in an amount determined by the Court under Securities Act Section 20(d) [15 U.S.C. § 77t(d)] and Exchange Act Section 21(d) [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)] for the violations alleged herein; and
- 6. Retain jurisdiction over this action to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that may be entered; and
 - 7. Order such other relief as this Court may deem just, proper, and equitable.

Dated: January 25, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

TIMOTHY L. EVANS

Texas Bar No. 24065211

CHRIS DAVIS

Texas Bar No. 24050483

B. DAVID FRASER

Texas Bar No. 24012654

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Fort Worth Regional Office

Burnett Plaza, Suite 1900

801 Cherry Street, Unit #18

Fort Worth, TX 76102-6882

Ph: 817-978-5036 (tle)

Fax: 917-978-4927

evanstim@sec.gov

davisca@sec.gov

fraserb@sec.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Case 3: JS 44 (Rev. 08/16)-TXND (Rev. 12) The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules of our purpose of initiating the civil de	the information contained in This form approved by the	CIVIL Conterence of the Indicial Conference	OVER	ent the filing and serv	2 F	ageID 18 5 2018 as required by law, except as
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANT	S CLERK U.S. DIST	TRICT OF TEXAS
U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION				ARISEBANK, ET		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Dallas (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)		
Timothy Evans, U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission 801 Cherry St., Suite 1900, Fort Worth, TX 76102 (817) 978-5036						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	FIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
Ø 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			PTF DEF 1 1 Incorporated or P of Business In	
Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	n of Another State	☐ 2 ☐ 2 Incorporated and of Business In	
				n or Subject of a	3 Society 3 Grant 3 Gr	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					Click here for: Nature of Su	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 750 Motor Vehicle 760 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice 7712 RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 378 Other Fraud 585 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	1 629 1 690 1 710 1 720 1 751 1 791	Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Other LABOR Drair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 770 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 17(a)(2) Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a), 77e(c), and 77q(a)] WII. REQUESTED IN Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 17(a)(2) Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a), 77e(c), and 77q(a)] WII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND S CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:						
COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND:						
DATE IF ANY		JUDGE SIGNATURE OF AT	TODNEY	E DECORD	DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 01/25/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD Timothy L. Evanss						

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT ____ APPLYING IFP

JUDGE MAG. JUDGE